Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R00060007 008-4 Security

2 2 MAY 1978

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Director	of	Central	Intelligence
------------	------	----------	----	---------	--------------

THROUGH:

Deputy to the DCI for Support

FROM:

25X1

25X1

Robert W. Cambino

Chairman, DCI Security Committee

SUBJECT:

Proposed Security Items for Agenda

6 June 1978 and July 1978 NFIB Meetings

25X1

1. Action Requested:

(a) That you approve as agenda items for the 6 June 1978 NFIB Meeting:

- (1) Revision of DCID 1/16, "Security of Foreign Intelligence in Automated Data Processing Systems and Networks";
- (2) Proposed DCID 1/xx, "Security Policy Concerning Travel and Assignment of Personnel with Access to Sensitive Compartmented Information";
- (3) Proposed DCID 1/xx, "Uniform Procedures for Administrative Handling and Accountability of Sensitive Compartmented Information":
- (b) The circulation to NFIB members for comment the Security Committee draft DCID 1/xx, "Compartmentation."
- 2. Background:
- (a) Following appropriate coordination the proposed DCIDs listed under (a) above were scheduled for

E-2 IMPDET

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000600070008-4

discussion at the 14 March 1978 NFIB Meeting but were superseded by other issues. Community members continue their interests in the substantive matters represented and it appears desirable to reschedule them as agenda items.

General Tighe solicited DCI support for early NFIB action on a proposed DCID on compartmentation. Sharp divisions in the community have stalled action at our level on trying to develop a directive establishing clear policies on what should be compartmented and effective procedures for doing so. Currently the "collectors/producers" such as COMIREX and the SIGINT Committee hold security authorities under their enabling DCIDs. The "users" view this arrangement as institutionalizing a bias in favor of overly protective and unreceptivity to needs for access under readily manageable conditions. The proposed DCID makes the Security Committee itself responsible for determining the feasibility of protecting the information at either the compartmented or collateral levels.

This draft DCID was to be addressed at the 14 March 1978 meeting of the NFIB as part of the "Security" agenda. It was not. It is noted that the draft has not been circulated for NFIB member comments. It is proposed that this be done now and that it be scheduled for discussion at a mid-July meeting of the NFIB.

3. Attached for your information are:

25X1

TAB A: Revision of DCID 1/16 "Security of Foreign Intelligence in Automated Data Processing Systems and Networks" and NFIB member comments:

TAB B: Proposed DCID 1/xx "Security Policy Concerning Travel and Assignment of Personnel with Access to Sensitive Compartmented Information" and NFIB member comments:

TAB C: Proposed DCID 1/xx "Uniform Procedures for Administrative Handling and Accountability of Sensitive Compartmented Information" and NFIB member comments:

TAB D: Draft DCID 1/xx "Compartmentation" and a copy of General Tighe's memorandum soliciting your support.

## CHANGE TO A

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA	A-RDP81-00142R0	000600070008-4	
4. Recommendation:	It is recomm	ended that you	
(a) Scheduling t Paragraph 1 (a) on th 1978 NFIB Meeting;	he three DCI e agenda of	Ds listed in the 6 June	
(b) Circulating the draft DCID on Com scheduling it for dis 1978 NFIB Meeting.	partmentation	n Policy and	
			25X1A
	Robert W.	Gambino	
Att.			
APPROVED:	and the control of th		
DISAPPROVED:	e vallet kille in kil		
DATE:	en statistism nicht des Schallestades eine Beschliche der Angeleinstellen zu der		•
Distribution: Orig - Return to C/SECOM 1 - DCI			
<pre>1 - DDCI 1 - D/DCI/Support 1 - Executive Registry</pre>			

3

#### Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000600070008-4

15 September 1977

NOTE FOR THE RECORD

. .

SUBJECT: NFIB Votes on Draft DCID 1/16

CIA Concurs

State No comment

DIA Comments

NSA Comments

Treasury No comment

ERDA No comment

FBI Concurs

Navy Comments

Air Force Comments

MARRY Comments

Next 26 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142P00600070008-4

1717-8155/3

25X1

14 MOV 1977

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Central Intelligence
	FROM:	John N. McMahon Acting Deputy to the DCI for the Intelligence Community
•	SUBJECT:	Revision of DCID 1/16, "Security of Foreign Intelligence in Automated Data Processing Systems and Networks"
	REFERENCE:	Memorandum to DCI, DCI/IC 77-1925, dated 3 August 1977
	. See	
25X1		tion Requested: That you approve the attached proposed uture NFIB agenda item.
25X1	to NFIB members and revised draf NFIB Secretariat circulated. Som in the attached remains unchange	ckground: In the reference you approved the circulation for comment the Security Committee version of an update t DCID 1/16. Comments have now been returned to the and the consensus opinion supports the draft DCID as a recommended editorial changes have been incorporated version of the DCID; however, the substantive thrust d. The areas of basic disagreement are the same as the Security Committee in referenced memorandum.
25X1	added an ex computer op would permi to an ADP s (SCI). Thi research an	One area of conflict comes from the desire of the of NFIB, supported by the military services, to have panded compartmented mode as an authorized mode of erations. This expanded compartmented mode concept t personnel cleared for SECRET material to have access ystem containing Sensitive Compartmented Information s authorization is "considered essential for planning, d development to meet existing operational requireto assure full utilization of existing computer
25X1	significant expanded co	The Navy representative to NFIB in supporting this that the efficiency of many systems could be improved ly if selective operations were allowed under the mpartmented mode. He further stated that system d software would be required to provide reasonable

Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81-00142000600070008-4
SUBJECT: Revision of DCID 1/16, "Security of Foreign Intelligence
in Automated Data Processing Systems and Networks"

tworks" 25X1

assurances that SCI and TOP SECRET data would not be accessed by persons cleared for only SECRET material.

c. Herein lies the problem. Current technology cannot give us a reasonable assurance of positive verification of user clearance and shut-out mechanisms which would permit a secure multi-level computer operation. The development of these techniques, systems and procedures are still a few years away. Therefore, the operation of an ADP system in an expanded compartmented mode technically gives a SECRET-cleared person access to SCI contained in the ADP system. Access to SCI requires a TOP SECRET clearance based upon the investigative standards set forth in DCID 1/14, "Minimum Personnel Security Standards and Procedures Governing Eligibility for Access to Sensitive Compartmented Information." There is no Community standard for the issuance of a SECRET clearance.

d. The other unresolved issue concerns these clearance standards. In paragraph 4.b of the DCID, temporary periods of relief are authorized NFIB members when compliance with the DCID would significantly impair the execution of his mission. No exception can be made to the minimum requirement that a TOP SECRET clearance be based on a background investigation for access to ADP systems or networks containing SCI. The NSA member recommends a footnote requiring that this TOP SECRET clearance be based on DCID 1/14 requirements as is normally the case. He fears that this language could be interpreted as permitting lesser standards and be seized upon as the norm.

e. On the other hand, the DIA member feels that the requirement for a background investigation would be a hardship since a TOP SECRET clearance based on a background investigation would then be required for DOD personnel accessing collateral intelligence information in an ADP system containing SCI. Currently the DOD permits collateral TOP SECRET access based upon a National Agency check and ten years service. They feel that without allocation of resources, placing these additional requirements in an already overburdened system would appear to be counterproductive.

Two options available are:

(1) That you approve the DCID as supported by the consensus opinion of NFIB. This issuance would provide a computer security policy compatible with the current state-of-the-art.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SUBJEC <b>Approv</b>	ed For Release 2005/ in Automated Data	b <mark>4/22<sup>5</sup>SCIATED</mark> Processing	<b>681-06942R</b> Systems and	gone prograde d Networks	ence 8
pr	(2) Refer the ocess as an NFIB		WFIB Secre	tariat to	
and that DOD	Recommendation: icy for those com could have resou the NFIB Secretar	puter operati rce problems	ions in us in its im	e and being plementatio	planned,
				บุดทก พ. mcr	lanon
Attachment: Proposed D	OCID 1/16				
					•
APPROVED:	Director of Centr	al Intelliger	nce	·	*
DISAPPROVED:	Director of Centr	al Intellige	nce		
DATE:	161	25.27			

25X1

25X1

25X1A 25X1 Distribution: DCI/IC 77-1935
Orig. - Addressee (rtn to ICS) w/att.

1 - DCI w/att.
1 - ER w/att.
1 - IC Registry w/att.
1 - SECOM Subj. File w/att.
1 - SECOM Chrono w/att.

25X1A

DCI/IC/SECOM:

8Nov77

25X1

## Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000600070008-4

## NATIONAL FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE BOARD

#### VOTE

SUBJECT	:	Security Policy	Concerning	Travel and	Assignment.
		of Personnel wit	h Access to	Sensitive	Compartmente

Sensitive Compartmented

REFERENCE NFIB-9.3/17

DUE DATE 21 December 1977 STATINTL

Agency	Vote	Ву	Date
CIA	CONCUR		12/22/77
State	CONCUR	Saunders	12/21/77
DIA	CONCUR		(Acting)1/18/78
NSA	Comments Attached	Inman	STATINTL 1/6/78
TREASURY	CONCUR	Collins	12/22/78
ENERGY	Deferring response is resovled by Sec		regarding appendix
FBI	CONCUR	Weimar	12/22/77
OSD - <u></u>			
D/DCI/CT			OT A TINITI
NFAC			STATINTL
	CONCUR COMMENTS ATT		12/21/77 12/20/78 12/29/77
pproved by \( \text{DCI/IC on:} \)	CONCUR	,	12/29/11
Approved by DCI	on.		
Record	· ·		

## Approved Tooksidase and I company and Approved the Approved Tooksidase and Tooksi

NFIB-9.3/17 7 December 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR NATIONAL FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE BOARD

FROM

Walter Elder

Executive Secretary

SUBJECT

Proposed DCID No. 1/XXX, "Security Policy

Concerning Travel and Assignment of

Personnel with Access to Sensitive Compartmented

Information"

1. The Acting Vice Chairman has approved a recommendation from the Security Committee to circulate the attached proposed DCID for your consideration. An earlier draft was considered by NFIB in June 1976 and was remanded to the Security Committee for further study. The current version reflects the results of that extensive investigation.

## NFIB ACTION REQUESTED

2. You are requested to notify the NFIB Secretariat of your concurrence in or other comments on the proposed DCID and its appendix by close of business, 21 December 1977.

Walter Elder

25X1

Attachment:
As Stated

Copy of 35

Exempt from general declassification schedule of E.O. 11652 exemption category 5B(1),(2),(3) Automatically declassified on Date Impossible to Determine

CONFIDENTIAL
Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000600070008-4

Next 15 Page(s) In Document Exempt

#### Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81-00142R600600070008-4

DCI/IC 77-1939

5 DEC 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

FROM:

John N. McMahon

Acting Deputy to the DCI for the

Intelligence Community

SUBJECT:

Proposed DCID on Travel Security Policy

REFERENCE:

Memo for USIB (USIB-D-9.6/6), dated 1 April 1963,

Subject: "Procedures for Foreign Travel of Personnel Possessing Special Security

Clearances"

- Action Requested: Approval for NFIB vote sheet action on a draft DCID setting security policy on travel and assignment of personnel with access to compartmented intelligence.
- 2. Background: Restrictions on the travel or assignment of persons having or having had access to compartmented intelligence have been in effect for some twenty years. Current Community policy on this subject dates from 1963 (see reference). A perception that the circumstances which justified the policy had changed, questions about the legal enforceability of travel restrictions, and diverging implementation of the policy by different agencies, prompted a proposed revision of the policy. NFIB consideration of that proposal resulted in the Board remanding an earlier draft DCID to the Security Committee for (1) exploration with legal counsel of enforceability questions, and (2) more precise definition of particularly sensitive information, access to which should trigger more travel restrictions than might otherwise be appropriate. The legal issue bears on authority to restrict the unofficial travel of persons having access to sensitive national security information in the interests of better protecting that information.

## 3. Staff Position:

a. The consensus of Community legal staffs is that, while U.S. citizens have a Constitutional right to travel, the courts would quite possibly uphold agency restrictions against private travel to risky areas if there was a "strong factual situation; for example, current practices of a country of detaining, harassing or provoking...persons [with access to sensitive information] for the purpose of extracting information from

DOCUMENT DOWNGRADED TO OFFICIAL USE ONLY WHEN REMOVED FROM ATTACHMENT

E2 IMPDET

25X1

#### CONFIDENTIAL

#### Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81-00142R000600070008-4

SUBJECT: Proposed DCID on Travel Security Policy

- them." There are no recent cases documented in which persons with access to compartmented intelligence were detained, harassed, or provoked during private travel to Communist countries to try to force or trick them to divulge sensitive information. The harassments and provocations occasionally encountered by Americans in Communist countries have other objectives--warning local citizens against contact with Americans, trying to frustrate possible intelligence activities by the Americans, and seeking to identify weaknesses in the Americans which could be exploited for espionage recruitment. The application of travel restrictions is very inconsistent. They are waived by some agencies, stringently applied by others. However private travel of cleared persons to risky areas has been handled, it has not contributed to any known compromise of sensitive intelligence. Thus, there does not appear to be any demonstrable need to restrict private travel for security reasons, or any likelihood of supporting in court a stringent policy restricting such travel.
- b. Prudent security, however, argues that persons with access to sensitive intelligence should be briefed on potential dangers that might befall them during travel to or through countries adverse to the United States. The policy proposed in the attached draft DCID would require such persons to: (1) give advance notice of planned travel, (2) receive a defensive security briefing before leaving, and (3) report to official U.S. authorities any security incidents affecting them during travel. The proposed policy would apply more rigorously to those few persons with access to exceptionally sensitive information on the specific sources and methods of compartmented intelligence (e.g., specific reconnaissance capabilities, cryptologic targets, or successes).
- c. This subject has been dealt with at considerable length by the Security Committee and the Community. All Community agencies except NSA have been pressing for a more realistic policy which would balance known circumstances of travel against limits on authority to restrict travel, operational requirements, and prudent security precautions. NSA's opposition to a change has been strong. Their position may have changed. When Vice Admiral Inman was Director of Naval Intelligence, he actively supported a change in security policy along the lines of that in the attached draft DCID.

#### Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81-00142R000600070008-4

SUBJECT: Proposed DCID on Travel Security Policy

4. Recommendation: That you approve circulation of the attached draft DCID to the NFIB for vote sheet action, and if this should not result in Community agreement, schedule this subject for discussion at an NFIB meeting with a view towards resolving this issue.

John N. McMahon

25X1A

25X1A

Attachment: Draft DCID

APPROVED:

Director of Central Intelligence

DISAPPROVED:

Director of Central Intelligence

DATE:

1 1 27

## Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142Re00600070008-4

# NATIONAL FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE BOARD

#### VOTE

SUBJECT	Proposed DCID 1/XX, "Uni Administrative Handling Sensitive Compartmented	and Accountability of	
REFERENCE	: NFIB-9.3/18, 21 December		STATINTL
DUE DATE	: COB, 10 January 1978		
Agency	Vote	Ву	Date
CIA	CONCUR		1/10/78
State	CONCUR	Kirk	1/4/78
DIA	CONCUR	Tighe	1/12/78
NSA	COMMENTS ATT.	Inman	1/23/78
TREASURY	CONCUR	Collins	1/10/78
ENERGY	CONCUR	Lee Martin	1/5/78
FBI	CONCUR	Weimar	1/11/78
OSD	COMMENTS ATTA.		STATINTL 1/28/78
D/DCI/CT			
NFAC	*See note per Ambassador Li	ttle (Attached)	
	COMMENTS ATTACHED		1/10/78

STATINTL

Approved by D/DCI/IC on:

Record

Approved by DCI on:

#### Approved & Til Release F2005/114722 IN INCHED F301 C422000680070008-4

NFIB-9.3/1821 December 1977

MEMORANDUM	FOR	NATIONAL	FOREIGN	INTELLIGENCE	BOARD
			•		

25X1A

FROM

Deputy Executive Secretary

SUBJECT

Proposed DCID 1/XX, "Uniform Procedures for Administrative Handling and Accountability of Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI)

The Chairman has approved circulation of the attached proposed DCID for your consideration.

## NFIB ACTION REQUESTED

You are requested to notify the NFIB Secretariat of your concurrence by close of business, 10 January 1978.

25X1A

Attachment: As Stated

Exempt from general declassification schedule of E.O. 11652 exemption category 5B(1),(2),(3) Automatically declassified on Date Impossible to Determine

CONFIDENTIAL

Next 15 Page(s) In Document Exempt

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142-000600070008-4

10 January 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Walter Elder

Executive Secretary, NFIB

25X1

FROM:

Chairman, COMIREX

SUBJECT:

Comments on Proposed DCID 1/XX, "Uniform Procedures for Administrative Handling and Accountability of Sensitive

Compartmented Information (SCI)"

REFERENCE:

NFIB-D-9.3/18, 21 December 1977

- There are three parts of the draft DCID that we believe could or would create problems with reference to TK matters, specifically:
  - The language of paragraph 3 of the covering memorandum, which bears the signature of the DCI, could be wrongly interpreted that the D/NRO, as an "operational program director," would now have the authority to make policy on release to foreign governments. Since that is not the intention, recommend that the paragraph be rephrased in the following manner:

"This directive is not intended to limit the authority and responsibilities of Executive Agent or other operational program directors, or the SCI Control Officials designated by the DCI, for management of other aspects of their respective programs, such as basic operational direction, and policy guidance on security classifications and controls and dissemination to foreign governments."

25X1

Copy

25X1

25X1

- b. Literal adherence to the provisions on pages 11-13 that deal with the "Minimum Standards for Control of SCI Released to Contractors or Consultants" might be construed as in conflict with key aspects of the 28 December 1977 COMIREX Memorandum D-2.10/2, which outlines the guidelines on Contractor Holdings of TALENT-KEYHOLE Imagery. To resolve the conflicts between the policy guidance in the two documents suggest the following two changes to the proposed DCID:
  - (1) Security Regulation, page 11, para 3. Change as follows:

"The sponsoring agency ensures that such releases are in conformity with the contractor policy directives formulated for the specific types of SCI involved."

(2) <u>Security Regulation</u>, page 13. Change as follows:

"When specifically required by the contractor for another contract by another sponsoring agency-provided that the requisite security safeguards and accountability procedures specified by this directive continue to be maintained by the contractor or consultant, and provided that the second sponsoring agency assumes monitoring responsibility for the SCI materials to be retained."

2. A final point about timing the issuance of this proposed DCID. I strongly recommend deferral of approval or other further consideration of this proposed DCID at the present time for the following reason. It would reflect poor management to issue this DCID with its five references to Executive Order 11652, including the concept of a General Declassification Schedule, and then find within the next month or two the President has approved the currently proposed Executive Order on "National Security Information," which revokes Executive Order 11652, and promulgates a declassification approach different from the current General Declassification Schedule. Since this proposed Executive Order has already reached the final draft stage, with comments required no later than January 20, a delay in issuing the proposed DCID is

SECRET

2	5	X	1	

#### SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142-000600070008-4

definitely in order. Once the Executive Order is approved, the proposed DCID should be appropriately modified so as to conform with its provisions, and then re-submitted to the DCI and NFIB for consideration.

25X1

25X1

SECRET

Next 7 Page(s) In Document Exempt

## CONFIDENTIAL:

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R-006000700

Executiva Tees	tey
08-4-1052	
トラフニ フロンス	4

DCI/IC 77-1938

LULU 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

FROM:

John N. McMahon

Acting Deputy to the DCI for the

Intelligence Community

SUBJECT:

Proposed DCID 1/XX, "Uniform Procedures for Administrative Handling and Accountability of Sensitive Compartmented Information

(SCI)"

25X1

25X1

Action Requested: That you approve the circulation of the attachea proposed DCID to NFIB principals for concurrence and/or other comments.

25X1

## Background:

25X1A

- This proposed DCID was prepared in order to simplify through uniformity the administrative procedures for the control of compartmented information in the various programs under the cognizance of the DCI. While there are similarities in the control procedures for TALENT-KEYHOLE, COMINT, control systems, each system has its own security regulation.
- This DCID brings all of the administrative procedures for the security control and handling of SCI materials together in one security regulation to facilitate understanding and compliance.
- c. All of the Intelligence Community agencies support this draft DCID except NSA. NSA feels that since the Communications Intelligence Security Regulations (CISR), DCID 6/3, provides security policy, including administrative handling, for the entire COMINT community, this DCID should not be applicable to COMINT information. They also have difficulty with the concept of an SCI Security Control Center as the focal point for security, receipt, control, storage, and dissemination of SCI in each accredited facility. This concept is effective in customeroriented situations but not in a producer-oriented environment where large volumes of information are received and dispatched daily.
- d. In recognition of NSA's concern over a central control point, the DCID was modified to provide an alternative in

Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81-00142R000600070008-4

nw³	Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R600600070008-4
25X1	SUBJECT: Proposed DCID 1/XX, "Uniform Procedures for Administrative Handling and Accountability of Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI)"
	instances where it is not practical, permitting an NFIB member to establish the control mechanism on the basis of selected components within an agency or department. Their concern about the CISR is not shared. All SCI, including COMINT, requires similar control procedures. Furthermore, applicable portions of the CISR were used as a guide in preparing this proposed regulation.
25X1	3. Recommendation: That you approve the circulation of the attached DCIU to NFIB for vote sheet action.
	Attachment: Draft DCID
((	Ah.
	APPROVED:  Director of Central Intelligence
	DISAPPROVED: Director of Central Intelligence
	DATE: 1 ? DEC 1977

1

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Exempt

## NATIONAL FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE BOARD

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R600600070008-4

2 March 1978

Executive Registry	Ì
18-118-01	1
 444	/ <i>//</i> -

25X

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Lieutenant General Eugene F. Tighe, Jr.

Director, Defense Intelligence Agency

SUBJECT:

Security Compartmentation

REFERENCE:

S-8334-RSS-4B, dated 3 January 1978,

subject: Proposed DCID on

Compartmentation

25X1

Your memorandum (see reference) raises some valid points about the progress of various actions bearing on security compartmentation. The proposed compartmentation DCID you noted is but one of several draft directives on this general subject which require resolution. Since the delays in coming to grips with these issues seem to reflect underlying philosophical differences in the Community on how to deal with the need for and impact of compartmentation, I plan to schedule an NFIB discussion on the subject on 14 March. That should help us determine what organizational arrangements and assignments of responsibility we will need to balance the full range of Community interests in the protection and use of sensitive intelligence.

STANSFIELD TURNER

Chairman

ductassification schadule of 5.0. 11532 exemplion achedule 58 (1). (2). (3) Automaticatly, declaratified on

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81=00142R000600070008

# Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81-00142R@00600070008-4 DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

## Security Committee

SECOM-D-306 (\*) 27 January 1978

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Mr. Walter Elder Executive Secretary, NFIB	
25X1A	FROM:	Acting Chairman	
	SUBJECT:	Security Compartmentation	
	REFERENCE:	DCI/IC 78-5006, dated 12 January 1978, subject: Proposed DCID on Compartmentation	
•	· .		
25X1	This responds to your request (reference) for an identification of issues and problems bearing on compartmentation, and a description of who is doing what about them.		
25X1	As you noted, General Tighe's recent memorandum soliciting DCI support for early NFIB action on a proposed DCID on compartmentation is but one of several recent expressions of concern from Defense about the pace of pending actions on compartmentation. The Joint Chiefs of Staff brought up the subject for discussion during their 20 January 1978 meeting with the DCI.		
25X1	That initiative is sions in the Commodevelop a direct compartmented and "collection" originate the DCI collection needs to be compadeem appropriate which would provious restrictive secollected information. The institutionalizing	The proposed compartmentation DCID derives from a by Defense, presented as a means of redressing the protection and utility of intelligence information. Was referred to the Security Committee. Sharp divimunity have stalled action at our level on trying to ive establishing clear policies on what should be defective procedures for doing so. Those with a centation generally favor the status quo, under which on committees or program managers both assert what artmented and develop the protective measures they. Those with a "user" orientation want a change ide a better balance between a collector's assertions ecurity measures and the users' needs to get the ation in a manner which permits its full and timely a "users" generally view present arrangements as ing a bias in favor of strict protection and unreceptived for access under readily manageable conditions.	

25

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000600070008-4

A majority on the Security Committee sought to attain this improved balance through having the proposed DCID make the Committee itself responsible for determining what needs to be compartmented. A copy of their proposed DCID is attached for information (see Attachment A). The Committee Staff, believing that neither it nor the Committee as a collegial body has the technical expertise to make such a determination, proposed a different approach without much success. That approach is that: (1) those intimately knowledgeable of the collection environment propose information elements for compartmentation; (2) the Security Committee comment on the feasibility of protecting the information at both compartmented and non-compartmented classified levels; (3) Community agencies with interests in using the information comment on the impact the compartmentation proposal would have on their responsibilities; (4) a senior staff advisor to the DCI review these inputs from an overall Community point of view and recommend a balanced position to the DCI for final approval; and (5) the Security Committee play the leading role in developing the administrative procedures to apply the DCI's decision.

The slow pace of action on the proposed compartmentation DCID is unfortunately paralleled in other actions underway which bear on compartmentation in some manner. Some of the delay is due to the complexity of the subject and to the need for wide-ranging coordina-In other cases, different problems have contributed to slow action. In any event, the lack of much in the way of specific accomplishment fuels the concerns expressed by DIA. Significant pending actions bearing on compartmentation include:

Simplification of security policy for space intelligence matters. A proposal on this was developed by an ad hoc Community group convened by the DCI in January Specific recommendations were sent to the President by the DCI in November 1976, but were held over and subsumed in the space policy review (PRM-23). The security recommendations from that are very close to the November 1976 ones, but are not yet final pending further consideration of the draft Presidential Directive. Until this is acted upon, no changes can be made in the system of security controls for intelligence satellite product. The Security Committee staff provided support on security issues involved in this effort.

b. Revision of Executive Order 11652 on security classification. The new draft Order developed in response to PRM-29 will require, among other things, that intelligence compartments be continued or established only upon personal DCI approval after consideration of the balance between protection and use and assessment of attendant security factors. Compartments will also be subject to periodic

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81-00142 000600070008-4 SUBJECT: Security Compartmentation review requirements leading to cancellation, change, or reaffirmation. A mechanism to support the DCI in these regards is needed. A Security Committee staff member participated in the PRM-29 effort and was one of the drafters for the new Order. Central computer data base to record and . C. manage compartmented accesses. The NFIB concurred in a concept for such (the 4C's System) in 1975, but disagreement on funding precluded implementation; funding is still an issue. Further examination of the concept suggests that its management benefits are well worth the cost. The Security Committee is staffing a new proposal on this system for DCI consideration. Uniform administrative system for compartmented data. The Security Committee developed, and the NFIB has for comment, a proposed DCID to bring all administrative procedures for handling and controlling such data together into one regulation. Some agency comments on the proposal counsel delay until the new Executive Order on security classification is approved. Updating of Community security policy on travel and assignment of persons with compartmented access. Strong .Community differences on the subject have delayed decision. Legal issues were raised and explored. The Security Committee has developed, and the NFIB has for comment, an up-to-date proposed DCID on this matter. Comments show that Community differences will have to be resolved by DCI decision.

25X1

f. Updating of the TALENT-KEYHOLE Manual. COMIREX has completed a several-year-long effort to draft a revision of the manual, consolidating all existing provisions bearing on T-K matters, and will shortly seek DCI approval for the changes involved.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

g. Updating of the Communication Intelligence Security Regulations. The SIGINT Committee has been working for about a year on a draft revision of this directive. More review and coordination is needed.

5. A factor that does not contribute to timely action on security matters is the division of responsibility for the subject, both by directive and by practice. With regard to compartmentation:

a. DCID 1/11, the Security Committee's charter, assigns a mission to "review special security and compartmentation

procedures and develop proposals for any necessary changes:
to achieve optimum use of intelligence consistent with pro-
tection of sensitive intelligence sources and methods." Not-
withstanding that, a recent proposal to subdivide a
compartment into two separate ones was not assigned to the
Security Committee for action.

25X1A

25X1

b. COMIREX, which is primarily responsible for advising on the collection, processing, and exploitation of imagery, is also tasked by its charter (DCID 1/13) to develop "special security control" procedures for imagery product. They, not the Security Committee, develop the administrative directives specifying how such product is to be protected.

25X1 ·

c. The SIGINT Committee, which is primarily responsible for advising on the collection and use of SIGINT, is tasked by its charter (DCID 6/1) to study and prepare recommendations on the "degree and type of security protection" to be afforded SIGINT and on associated administrative procedures.

25X1

d. An ad hoc group, not the Security Committee, was tasked last year to review security and compartmentation from an overall Community standpoint and to develop implementation plans for improvements. This, the Senior Security Study Group, was set up in response to a suggestion by the then Director, DIA, who believed that such would accelerate the pace of meaningful action on compartmentation.

25X1

Both policy and practice show that the DCI does not have a single focal point for Community security policy matters, as opposed to a single security advisor for operational security matters bearing on CIA. The concerns increasingly voiced by Defense are of Community character. They appear to seek an institutional approach which would give both the substance and the appearance of balanced consideration of the competing parochial interests of separate Community entities. Some arrangement to that effect will be needed to comply with the revision of E.O. 11652, which will require the protective features of present and proposed compartments to be balanced against the "full spectrum of needs to use the information" involved. It would seem that that balance would not be served by permitting either collectors or consumers to have a preponderant role in recommending security policy. Both have to contribute to the process, because no one else can speak with their experience and technical knowledge. But someone without a vested interest in the outcome should be closely involved in the balancing process and in the follow-through administration after the DCI has made his decision.

	SUBJECT: Security Compartmentation
25X1	7. Attached for your consideration is a suggested reply (Attachment B) for the DCI to send General Tighe. It states a plan to schedule an NFIB discussion on compartmentation, on the assumption that the DCI would believe such necessary and desirable. If the DCI concurs in such an approach, we will prepare a talking paper for his use.
:	
:	
	Attachments: A. Proposed DCID on Compartmentation B. DCI Memo to D/DIA

25X1

25X1

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt